3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26



Court S. Rich AZ Bar No. 021290 Rose Law Group pc 7144 E. Stetson Drive, Suite 300 Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 Email: CRich@RoseLawGroup.com Direct: (480) 505-3937 Attorney for Energy Freedom Coalition of America AZ CORP CONTESION DOCKET COM

2015 DEC 12 P 4: 18

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

DOUG LITTLE CHAIRMAN

IN THE MATTER OF THE

BOB STUMP COMMISSIONER

BOB BURNS COMMISSIONER

TOM FORESE COMMISSIONER

ANDY TOBIN COMMISSIONER

DOCKET NO. E-01345A-16-0036

APPLICATION OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY FOR A

HEARING TO DETERMINE THE FAIR

VALUE OF THE UTILITY PROPERTY OF THE COMPANY FOR

RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST AND REASONABLE RATE OF

RETURN THEREON, TO APPROVE RATE SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO

DEVELOP SUCH RETURN.

DOCKET NO. E-01345A-16-0123

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

DEC 1 2 2016

DOCKETED BY



IN THE MATTER OF FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER PROCUREMENT AUDITS FOR ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY.

REPLY IN SUPPORT OF **EMERGENCY MOTION TO** COMPEL PRODUCTION OF BARBARA LOCKWOOD CALENDAR IN ADVANCE OF LOCKWOOD DEPOSITION

EFCA requested Barbara Lockwood's business calendar to ask her—in deposition—what statements she made in business meetings. The business calendar will reveal meetings she attended and who she met with. EFCA will ask her what she said in specific business meetings to specific people at specific times. This careful questioning will yield more information about her

prior statements than simply asking her to recite everything she has ever said that is relevant to

this rate case. 27

28

¹ Ariz. R. Civ. P. 26.

EFCA will also ask about whether her day-to-day business activities principally benefit ratepayers or Pinnacle West's shareholders. Specific questioning based on real business calendar entries is a better way to discover facts than unprepared cold-questioning.

Providing a business calendar will not cause harm to the Company or cause an undue burden. The Company can print and disclose the document in a short amount of time. Instead of this brief resolution, the Company withheld discovery and briefed ten pages about parties that have not intervened in this case and did not request this discovery. The Company uses the word "harass" or its variants 11 times. It complains that EFCA's business calendar request is a "gambit," a "steady torrent," and "complex." It claims prior statement discovery regarding a key witness is "vastly overbroad," "contrived," and a "fishing expedition." Lastly, the Company claims to be "gravely concerned" because other entities—parties to neither this case nor this dispute—committed "reckless public attacks" which apparently resulted in a newspaper publishing public records about public figures receiving public money.

All this over one business calendar! EFCA suggests a focus on the simplicity of this request.

I. Lockwood's business calendar will assist with prior statement questioning.

EFCA may discover anything reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. EFCA's Motion to Compel explained that Ms. Lockwood's business calendar will help it question her about prior statements. Most business calendar entries identify a date, time, and location for a meeting. They often identify a subject. And they often identify the people or groups who will attend.

Discovering the business calendar lets EFCA ask specific questions about prior statements. For example: "What did you tell John Smith about the value of rooftop solar energy when you met him on June 15, 2015?" Any lawyer who has taken a deposition knows specific questions like that yield more and better information than "Tell me about prior statements you have made relevant to this case." With a business calendar available for questioning, EFCA can obtain more detailed information about Lockwood's prior statements.

Page three of EFCA's initial Motion explains that it would use the business calendar to ask meeting-specific questions about her statements. The Company did not respond to that argument. Instead, it represents that EFCA relied on an "unexplained contention that Ms. Lockwood's business calendar will somehow reveal these hypothetical inconsistencies." That representation is false. EFCA explained that it will use the business calendar to ask meeting-specific questions about Ms. Lockwood's prior statements. The Company cannot refute the business calendar's value for asking questions about prior statements. Instead it claims, incorrectly, that the EFCA did not explain that at page three of its motion.

A. EFCA's time-limit is reasonably calculated to obtain admissible evidence.

EFCA limited the time-scope of its request to focus on potentially relevant meetings. It requested May 2015 through present. This includes the period the rate case was pending and the year leading up to it. EFCA expects this will reveal: 1) relevant statements made during the test year; 2) statements Lockwood made about the test year after it ended; and 3) statements about the particular rate proposal in this case. Of course, her statements during the test year have obvious potential relevance. Statements describing the test year are relevant even if they occurred afterwards. For example, some of Lockwood's post-test-year meetings may have reviewed the test year as a whole.

Finally, her statements about rate design and upcoming future projects are relevant regardless of when she made them. Her pre-filed testimony discusses projections of the future, including future economic ramifications of solar adoption. She also discusses future APS capital projects. The Company opened the door to discovery about these future issues when it included them in Ms. Lockwood's pre-filed testimony. EFCA has a right to discover what she said about those issues. The Company presents no logical reason to limit that to the test year.

In any event, the Company waived any time objection by failing to raise it in its written objection. Rule 34(b) requires parties objecting to production of documents to "identify the reasons for any objection." Rule 34(b) also requires that "[i]f objection is made to part of an item or category, the part shall be specified." Proper objections must "be *specific*, non-boilerplate, *and*

² Arizona Public Service Company's Response in Opposition to EFCA's Motion to Compel (the "Response") at 5.

supported by particularized facts where necessary to demonstrate the basis for the objection."3 Arizona's Supreme Court has stated that "General objections, such as ... unreasonably burdensome, oppressive, or vexations, ... irrelevant and immaterial, ... are insufficient." Courts 3 repeatedly conclude that "pat, generic, nonspecific objections, intoning the same boilerplate 4 language, are inconsistent with both the letter and the spirit of the" Rules of Civil Procedure.⁵ 5 "Boilerplate, generalized objections are inadequate and tantamount to not making any objection at 6 all." One court found it "clear" that a party engaging in such objections was "attempting to 7 subvert the purposes of discovery by ... asserting boilerplate objections, and unilaterally making 8 determinations of relevance."7 9

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

That observation applies with equal force to the Company. It made a unilateral relevance determination. It refused to explain that determination in its objection or in personal consultation. Even if that objection had merit, one wonders why the Company did not at least provide the portion of the business calendar covered by the test year.

B. EFCA's request for a single, complete document reduced the burden of discovery.

The Company also complains that EFCA requested a complete calendar rather than only business calendar entries reflecting meetings Lockwood actually attended. The Company waived this objection by failing to state it in writing before the objection deadline. It also never produced the portion of Ms. Lockwood's business calendar reflecting those meetings. Nor did it offer to in personal consultation.

Also, the Company's proposed attended-meetings-only restriction would increase the burden of discovery. It can only possibly comply with that restriction if Ms. Lockwood accurately remembers whether she attended every meeting on her business calendar. Ms. Lockwood would then have to personally sift through every entry. The burden of responding to that data request

³ Lynn v. Monarch Recovery Mgmt., Inc., 285 F.R.D. 350, 356 (D. Md. 2012).

Cornet Stores v. Superior Court In & For Yavapai Cty., 108 Ariz. 84, 86, 492 P.2d 1191, 1193 (1972).

⁵ Obiajulu v. City of Rochester, 166 F.R.D. 293, 295 (W.D.N.Y.1996).

⁶ Walker v. Lakewood Condominium Owners Ass'n, 186 F.R.D. 584, 587 (C.D.Cal.1999).

⁷ Klayman v. Judicial Watch, Inc., 256 F.R.D. 258, 262 n.6 (D.D.C.).

would far exceed EFCA's previous request where the Company could just print the business calendar.

Finally, entries for meetings Ms. Lockwood did not attend may lead to relevant information about how she spends her time. For example, if she has a pattern of canceling rate-payer benefitting meetings to address issues for Pinnacle West shareholders, that is quite relevant to the allocation of her salary.

II. Ms. Lockwood's business calendar is relevant to her salary allocation.

The Company requested affirmative relief based on Ms. Lockwood's compensation in that it seeks recovery of some portion of her compensation from ratepayers. Recognizing that it put Ms. Lockwood's compensation in issue, the Company concedes that how Ms. Lockwood uses her time is relevant.⁸ It admits that EFCA may ask about that at deposition.⁹

Rule 26 governs the scope, timing, and methods of discovery. Rule 26(b)(1)(A) allows discovery which relates to the "claim or defense of any other party." "And 'relevance' for discovery purposes is quite broad, not limited to evidence that is admissible at trial but including information that may be useful solely because it reasonably may lead to admissible evidence." The Company made a claim to recover some of Lockwood's salary from ratepayers. EFCA can discover the basis for the Company's claim.

Rule 26 also allows the party seeking discovery discretion to choose between written discovery and deposition. Rule 26(d) lets parties use any "method[] of discovery" in "any sequence." Rule 26(a) also lets a party discover relevant information by any method allowed by rule. This includes written discovery and deposition.

Because the Company admitted Ms. Lockwood's use of time is a fair topic for deposition, it appears to acknowledge the issue is relevant. Because the issue is relevant, EFCA may seek production of her business calendar and ask about it in deposition.

In fact, using both methods is efficient. EFCA can use the business calendar to identify activities Ms. Lockwood regularly conducts and ask about them. By getting a general picture of

⁸ Response at 8:1-2.

⁹ Response at 8:1-2.

¹⁰ Norwest Bank (Minnesota), N.A. v. Symington, 197 Ariz. 181, 185, ¶ 15, 3 P.3d 1101, 1105 (App. 2000) (citing Brown v. Superior Court, 137 Ariz. 327, 332, 670 P.2d 725, 730 (1983)).

4

5 6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

what she does in advance, EFCA can ask better questions in less time. Without the business calendar, EFCA would have to waste valuable (and limited) deposition time asking basic questions that written discovery could have covered.

A. EFCA can discover evidence on salary allocation.

EFCA can discover whether the Company properly allocated Lockwood's salary without first knowing the answer. In fact, that is the purpose of discovery. "[T]hrough the course of discovery," parties commonly "realize[] a new claim or defense" exists. 11 EFCA does not know whether the Company properly allocates Ms. Lockwood's salary or not. But the Company has allocated some portion of her compensation and EFCA has a right to check that allocation.

В. The Company's concerns about the accuracy of its own business records should not block discovery.

Perfect evidence almost never exists. So the Company's complaint that Ms. Lockwood's business calendar may not be "an accurate measure of how working time is actually allocated" fails. The business calendar contains information about how she spends her time. That is all it takes to be discoverable.12

III. The Company cannot support its confidentiality claims.

The Company bears the burden of proving its confidentiality claim. As the party resisting discovery, the Company bears the burden "to show that discovery should not be made." Arizona Courts consistently hold that "the burden of proving the validity of the objection is upon the objecting party."¹⁴ To protect information as a trade secret, the Company must prove: 1) it takes reasonable steps to protect the information as secret; 2) the information has economic value because it is a secret; and 3) that information is not readily ascertainable by other means. 15 The Company does not address any of these factors.

Even if some responsive information were secret, the Company does not explain why it did not disclose it subject to a confidential or highly confidential designation pursuant to a stipulated

¹¹ McCarty v. Hosp. Corp. of Am., 580 N.E.2d 228, 231 (Ind. 1991).

¹² Ariz. R. Civ. P. 26. 13 Tury v. Superior Court, 19 Ariz. App. 169, 171, 505 P.2d 1060, 1061 (1973).

¹⁴ Cornet Stores v. Superior Court In & For Yavapai County, 108 Ariz. 84, 86, 492 P.2d 1191, 1193 (1972).

¹⁵ A.R.S. § 44-401(4).

¹⁶ Ex. 1 (Protective Agreement) at 4.

protective agreement. On November 18, 2016, the Company and EFCA entered a standard protective agreement to foster disclosure of "highly confidential" information that "could be used to gain a competitive market advantage."¹⁶

The Highly Confidential classification includes:

- Access by only a limited number of attorneys and experts
- No sharing the document with sales or marketing staff
- · Filing the document under seal in commission proceedings
- No disclosure, including public disclosure

To be clear, EFCA doubts that any truly confidential material exists. But if it does, the Company could have protected it with the existing protective agreement.

Relatedly, the Company's harassment claim appears focus on a fear that EFCA would publish information from Ms. Lockwood's business calendar. If that were a genuine concern, the Company should have availed itself of the confidential designation in the protective agreement. It prevents public disclosure absent order of this Commission.

Finally, the Company waived both of these claims by failing to assert them in its written objection or during personal consultation. It should have discussed these concerns with counsel before briefing a conspiracy theory.

Conclusion

Ms. Barbara Lockwood is the Company's principal executive witness. Her business calendar is useful to depose her and to cross examine her. It provides EFCA a specific launching point to ask about prior statements. It also gives specific information for questions about how she uses her time. The Company should produce it no later than December 13.

Respectfully submitted this 12 +4 day of December, 2016. 1 2 3 4 Court S. Rich 5 Rose Law Group pc Attorney for Energy Freedom Coalition of America 6 7 Original and 13 copies filed on the May of December, 2016 with: 8 9 **Docket Control** Arizona Corporation Commission 10 1200 W. Washington Street 11 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 12 I hereby certify that I have this day served a copy of the foregoing document on all parties of record in this proceeding by regular or electronic mail to: 13 Janet Wagner 14 Arizona Corporation Commission Anthony Wanger Legaldiv@azcc.gov Alan Kierman 15 JXHatch-Miller@azcc.gov IO DATA CENTERS, LLC chanis@azcc.gov 16 t@io.com wvancleve@azcc.gov akierman@io.com 17 eabinah@azcc.gov tford@azcc.gov Meghan Grabel 18 evanepps@azcc.gov OSBORN MALEDON, PA mgrabel@omlaw.com cfitzsimmons@azcc.gov 19 kchristine@azcc.gov gyaquinto@arizonaic.org mscott@azcc.gov 20 Patricia Ferre **Timothy Hogan** pferreact@mac.com 21 **ACLPI** C. Webb Crockett thogan@aclpi.org Patrick Black 22 ken.wilson@westernresources.org FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. schlegelj@aol.com wcrokett@fclaw.com 23 ezuckerman@swenergy.org pblack@fclaw.com bbaatz@aceee.org 24 briana@votesolar.org Thomas Loquvam cosuala@earthjustice.org Pinnacle West Capital Corp. 25 dbender@earthjustice.org Thomas.loquvam@pinnaclewest.com cfitzgerrell@earthjustice.org 26 Greg Eisert Daniel Pozefsky Steven Puck 27 **RUCO** Sun City Homeowners Association dpozefsky@azruco.gov gregeisert@gmail.com 28 steven.puck@cox.net

- 1		
.	1959 GARA S 0	Charles Wesselhoft
1	Warren Woodward	Pima County Attorney's Office
	w6345789@yahoo.com	charles.wesselhoft@pcao.pima.gov
2	595.50	
	Richard Gayer	Giancarlo Estrada
3	rgayer@cox.net	Kamper Estrada LLP
		gestrada@lawphx.com
4	Craig Marks	
597	AURA	Greg Patterson
5	craig.marks@azbar.org	Munger Chadwick
400	pat.quinn47474@gmail.com	greg@azcpa.org
6	Free Comment	880
	Al Gervenack	Nicholas Enoch
7	Rob Robbins	Kaitlyn Redfield-Ortiz
	Property Owners & Residents Assoc.	Emily Tornabene
8	al.gervenack@porascw.org	Lubin & Enoch PC
	rob.robbins@porasew.org	nick@lubinandenoch.com
9	100.1000ms@porasew.org	mex@idomandenoen.com
	Tom Harris	Scott Wakefield
10	AriSEIA	Hienton Curry, PLLC
	tom.harris@ariseia.org	swakefield@hclawgroup.com
11	tom.narris@ariseta.org	mlougee@hclawgroup.com
5.517 46 9	Comthia Zoriale	
12	Cynthia Zwick	stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com
111	Kevin Hengehold	greg.tillman@wal-mart.com
13	ACCA	chris.hendrix@wal-mart.com
	czwick@azcaa.org	THE STREET R.
14	khengehold@azcaa.org	Albert H. Acken
	V 17	Samuel L. Lofland
15	Jay Moyes	Ryley Carlock & Applewhite
	Moyes Sellers & Hendricks LTD	ssweeney@rcalaw.com
16	jasonmoyes@law-msh.com	aacken@rcalaw.com
-0.5.	jimoyes@law-msh.com	slofland@rcalaw.com
17	jim@harcuvar.com	rones verseer
50.00		Jeffrey J. Woner
18	Kurt Boehm	K.R. Saline & Associates
	Jody Kyler Cohn	jjw@krsaline.com
19	Boehm Kurtz & Lowry	
***	kboehm@bkllawfirm.com	Thomas A. Jernigan
20	jkylercohn@bkllawfirm.com	Ebony Payton
- M		AFCEC/JA-ULFSC
21	John William Moore, Jr.	thomas.jernigan.3@us.af.mil
~ 1	Kroger	ebony.payton.ctr@us.af.mil
22	jmoore@mbmblaw.com	
	Commission of the Commission o	John B. Coffman
23	Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr.	john@johncoffman.net
23	Noble Americas Energy Solutions LLC	Ann-Marie Anderson
24	tubaclawyer@aol.com	Wright Welker & Pauole, PLC
27		aanderson@wwpfirm.com
25	Michael Patten	aallen@wwpfirm.com
23	Jason Gellman	
26	Snell & Wilmer LLP	Steve Jennings
20	mpatten@swlaw.com	AARP Arizona
27	jgellman@swlaw.com	sjennings@aarp.org
21	docket@swlaw.com	Jamingo Commitrority
28	bcarroll@tep.com	
40	- Compression	

- 1	1
1	Garry D. Hays ASDA
2	ghays@lawgdh.com
3	Robert L. Pickels, Jr. Sedona City Attorney's Office
4	rpickels@sedonaaz.gov
5	Jason Pistiner Singer Pistiner PC
6	jp@singerpistiner.com kfox@kfwlaw.com
7	kcrandall@eq-research.com
8	W.INA
9	By: 1 h. L. Warm
10	
11	. //
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
- 1	I

Thomas E. Stewart Granite Creek Power & Gas LLC Granite Creek Farms LLC tom@gcfaz.com

Denis Fitzgibbons Fitzgibbons Law Offices, PLC denis@fitzgibbonslaw.com

Timothy J. Sabo Snell & Wilmer, LLP tsabo@swlaw.com jhoward@swlaw.com pwalker@conservamerica.org

EXHIBIT 1

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS

3 DOUG LITTLE - Chairman BOB STUMP 4 BOB BURNS TOM FORESE 5 ANDY TOBIN

6

1

2

7 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION
OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
8 COMPANY FOR A HEARING TO
DETERMINE THE FAIR VALUE OF THE
9 UTILITY PROPERTY OF THE COMPANY
FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A
10 JUST AND REASONABLE RATE OF
RETURN THEREON, TO APPROVE RATE
11 SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP
SUCH RETURN.

DOCKET NO. E-01345A-16-0036

IN THE MATTER OF FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER PROCUREMENT AUDTIS FOR ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY.

DOCKET NO. E-01345A-16-0123

PROTECTIVE AGREEMENT

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

15

12

13

14

The Energy Freedom Coalition of America, LLC ("EFCA") has requested access to certain documents, data, studies, and other materials, some of which Arizona Public Service Company ("APS" or "Company") or its affiliates considers to be of a proprietary, confidential or legally protected nature as defined below. Some of the Confidential Information that falls within the scope of EFCA's request may also be considered by the Company to be Highly Confidential Information, as defined below. APS also foresees the possibility of seeking Confidential Information from EFCA during the course of this matter.

In order to facilitate the exchange of Confidential information between APS and EFCA (collectively referred to as the "Parties"), including but not limited to, Highly Confidential Information, the Parties agree to the terms of this Protective Agreement ("Agreement") as follows:

28

1. (a) Confidential Information.

All documents, data, studies and other materials furnished pursuant to any requests for information, subpoenas or other modes of discovery (formal or informal), including depositions, and other requests for information, that are claimed to be proprietary or confidential (herein referred to as "Confidential Information"), shall be so marked by the providing party by stamping the same with a "Confidential" designation. Confidential Information provided in a computer-readable data file shall be so-labeled on the face of any disc containing the file and in any e-mail transmitting the file, and the data file itself shall be identified in a conspicuous manner as containing "Confidential Information" to the extent reasonably practicable. Moreover, to the extent responsive materials contain personally identifiable information about individual customers, that information shall be redacted from the materials. In addition, all notes or other materials that refer to, derive from, or otherwise contain parts of the Confidential Information will be marked by the receiving party as Confidential Information. Access to and review of Confidential Information shall be strictly controlled by the terms of this Agreement.

- (b) <u>Use of Confidential Information</u>. All persons who may be entitled to review, or who are afforded access to any Confidential Information by reason of this Agreement, shall neither use nor disclose the Confidential Information for purposes of business or competition, or any purpose other than the purpose of preparation for and conduct of proceedings in the above-captioned docket and all subsequent appeals, and shall keep the Confidential Information secure as confidential or proprietary information and in accordance with the purposes, intent and requirements of this Agreement.
- (c) <u>Persons Entitled to Review</u>. Each party that receives Confidential Information pursuant to this Agreement must limit access to such Confidential Information to (1) attorneys employed or retained by the party in the proceedings and the attorneys' staff; (2) experts, consultants and advisors, including in-house employees who need access to the material to assist the party in the proceedings; (3) employees of the party who are directly involved in the proceedings, provided that counsel for the party represents that no such

(d) Nondisclosure Agreement. Any party, person, or entity that receives Confidential Information pursuant to this Agreement shall not disclose such Confidential Information to any person, except persons who are described in section 1(c) above and who have signed a nondisclosure agreement in the form which is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "A."

The nondisclosure agreement for Confidential Information (Exhibit "A") shall require the person(s) to whom disclosure is to be made to read a copy of the Protective Agreement and to certify in writing that they have reviewed the same and have consented to be bound by its terms. The agreement shall contain the signatory's full name, employer, job title and job description, business address and the name of the party with whom the signatory is associated. Such agreement shall be delivered to counsel for the producing party before disclosure is made. An attorney who makes Confidential Information available to any person listed in subsection (c) above shall be responsible for having each such person execute an original of Exhibit "A" and a copy of all such signed Exhibit "A"s shall be sent to Company promptly after execution.

- 2. (a) Notes. Limited notes regarding Confidential Information may be taken by counsel and experts for the express purpose of preparing pleadings, cross-examinations, briefs, motions and argument in connection with this proceeding, or in the case of persons designated in section 1(c) of this Protective Agreement, to prepare for participation in this proceeding. Such notes shall then be treated as Confidential Information for purposes of this Agreement, and shall be destroyed after the final settlement or conclusion of the proceedings in accordance with subsection 2(b) below.
- (b) <u>Return</u>. All notes, to the extent they contain Confidential Information and are protected by the attorney-client privilege or the work product doctrine, shall be destroyed after the final settlement or conclusion of the proceedings. The party destroying such Confidential Information shall advise the providing party of that fact within a reasonable time from the date of destruction.

1 2 mm
3 Cc
4 di
5 In
6 po
7 ex
8 co

3. <u>Highly Confidential Information</u>. Any person, whether a party or non-party, may designate certain competitively sensitive Confidential Information as "Highly Confidential Information" if it determines in good faith that it would be competitively disadvantaged by the disclosure of such information to its competitors. Highly Confidential Information includes, but is not limited to, documents, pleadings, briefs and appropriate portions of deposition transcripts, which contain information that is protected by a pre-existing confidentiality agreement with a third party or could otherwise be used to obtain a competitive market advantage.

Parties must scrutinize carefully responsive documents and information and limit their designations as Highly Confidential Information to information that is directly covered by a pre-existing confidentiality agreement or otherwise truly might impose a serious business risk if disseminated without the heightened protections provided in this section. The first page and individual pages of a document determined in good faith to include Highly Confidential Information must be marked by a stamp that reads:

"HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL"

Placing a "Highly Confidential" stamp on the first page of a document indicates only that one or more pages contain Highly Confidential Information and will not serve to protect the entire contents of a multi-page document. Each page that contains Highly Confidential Information must be marked separately to indicate Highly Confidential Information, even where that information has been redacted. The unredacted paper versions of each page containing Highly Confidential Information, and provided under seal, should be submitted on paper distinct in color from non-confidential information and "Confidential Information" described in Section 1 of this Protective Agreement. Highly Confidential Information provided in a computer-readable data file shall be so-labeled on the face of any disc containing the file and in any e-mail transmitting the file, and the data file itself shall be identified in a conspicuous manner as containing "Highly Confidential Information" to the extent reasonably practicable.

Parties seeking disclosure of Highly Confidential Information must designate the

13 s 14 15 I

17 18 19

16

21 22

20

2324

25

26

27 28 person(s) to whom they would like the Highly Confidential Information disclosed in advance of disclosure by the providing party. Such designation may occur through the submission of Exhibit "B" of the non-disclosure agreement for Highly Confidential Information identified in Section 1(d). Parties seeking disclosure of Highly Confidential Information shall not designate more than: (1) a reasonable number of in-house attorneys who have direct responsibility for matters relating to Highly Confidential Information; (2) a reasonable number of in-house experts and employees who need access to the material to assist the party in the proceedings; and, (3) a reasonable number of outside counsel and outside experts to review materials marked as "Highly Confidential." The Exhibit "B" also shall describe in detail the job duties or responsibilities of the person being designated to see Highly Confidential Information and the person's role in the proceeding. Highly Confidential Information may not be disclosed to persons engaged in the sale or marketing of products or services on behalf of any party.

Any party providing either Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information may object to the designation of any individual as a person who may review Confidential Information and/or Highly Confidential Information. Such objection shall be made in writing to counsel submitting the challenged individual's Exhibit "A" or "B". Any such objection must demonstrate good cause to exclude the challenged individual from the review of the Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information. Written response to any objection shall be made within two (2) business days after receipt of an objection. If, after receiving a written response to a party's objection, the objecting party still objects to disclosure of either Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information to the challenged individual, the Commission shall determine whether Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information must be disclosed to the challenged individual.

Copies of Highly Confidential Information may be provided to the in-house attorneys, in-house experts, outside counsel and outside experts who have signed Exhibit "B".

Persons authorized to review the Highly Confidential Information will maintain the documents and any notes reflecting their contents in a secure location to which only

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

designated counsel and experts have access. No additional copies will be made, except for use during hearings and then such disclosure and copies shall be subject to the provisions of Section 5. Any testimony or exhibits prepared that reflect Highly Confidential Information must be maintained in the secure location until removed to the hearing room for production under seal. Unless specifically addressed in this section, all other sections of this Protective Agreement applicable to Confidential Information also apply to Highly Confidential Information.

- 4. Objections to Admissibility. The furnishing of any document, data, study or other materials pursuant to this Protective Agreement shall in no way limit the right of the providing party to object to its relevance or admissibility in proceedings before the Commission or any judicial body.
- 5. Disclosure of Information to the Public. The Confidential Information provided pursuant to this Agreement, including any Highly Confidential Information, shall not be disclosed to any person not authorized to review it under the terms of this Agreement, nor shall it be made a part of the public record in the above captioned dockets, or in any other administrative or legal proceeding, unless receiving party provides producing party with five (5) business days written notice that it challenges the producing party's designation of the information as legally protected and intends that certain, specifically identified information shall be subject to wider dissemination or public disclosure. Upon the expiration of five (5) business days from the date such written notice is received by producing party, any Confidential Information specifically identified in the notice as subject to public disclosure may become part of the public record in this docket, unless producing party initiates a protective proceeding under the terms of Paragraph 6 to this Agreement.
- 6. Protective Proceedings to Prevent Disclosure to the Public. In the event that producing party seeks to prevent disclosure of Confidential Information, including Highly Confidential Information, pursuant to Paragraph 5 above, producing party shall file within five (5) business days of receiving written notice of the receiving party's intent to disclose such information, a motion presenting the specific grounds upon which it claims that the

 Confidential Information should not be disclosed or should not be made a part of the public record. The receiving party shall have an opportunity to respond to the motion. The motion may be ruled upon by either the Commission or an assigned ALJ. The producing party may provide to the Commission or the ALJ, the Confidential Information referenced in the motion without waiver of its position that the information should be kept confidential under the terms of this Agreement. Any Confidential Information so provided shall be filed and kept under seal for the purpose of permitting inspection by the Commission or the ALJ before ruling on the motion.

Notwithstanding any determination by the ALJ or the Commission that any Confidential Information provided pursuant to this Agreement should be made a part of the public record or otherwise disclosed, such disclosure shall not occur for a period of five (5) business days after such determination so that the providing party may seek judicial relief from the ALJ's or the Commission's decision. Upon expiration of the five (5) day period, the Commission may release the information to the public unless the producing party has received a stay or determination from a court of competent jurisdiction that the Confidential Information should not be disclosed.

- 7. (a) Receipt into Evidence. Provision is hereby made for receipt into evidence in this proceeding materials claimed to be confidential in the following manner:
 - (1) Prior to the use of or substantive reference to any Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information, the parties intending to use such Information shall make that intention known to the providing party.
 - (2) The requesting party and the providing party shall make a good-faith effort to reach an agreement so the Information can be used in a manner which will not reveal its confidential or proprietary nature.
 - (3) If such efforts fail, the providing party shall separately identify which portions, if any, of the documents to be offered or

 referenced shall be placed in a sealed record.

- (4) Only one (1) copy of the documents designated by the providing party to be placed in a sealed record shall be made.
- (5) The copy of the documents to be placed in the sealed record shall be tendered by counsel for the providing party to the Commission, and maintained in accordance with the terms of this Agreement.
- (b) <u>Seal</u>. While in the custody of the Commission, materials containing Confidential Information shall be marked "CONFIDENTIAL UNDER PROTECTIVE AGREEMENT IN DOCKET NO. E-01345A-16-0036" and Highly Confidential Information shall be marked "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL USE RESTRICTED PER PROTECTIVE AGREEMENT IN DOCKET NO. E-01345A-16-0036" and shall not be examined by any person except under the conditions set forth in this Agreement.
- (c) <u>In Camera Hearing</u>. Any Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information that must be orally disclosed to be placed in the sealed record in this proceeding shall be offered in an <u>in camera</u> hearing, attended only by persons authorized to have access to the information under this Agreement. Similarly, any cross-examination on or substantive reference to Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information (or that portion of the record containing Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information or references thereto) shall be received in an <u>in camera</u> hearing, and shall be marked and treated as provided herein.
- (d) Access to Record. Access to sealed testimony, records and information shall be limited to the ALJ, Commissioners, and their respective staffs, and persons who are entitled to review Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information pursuant to Subsection 1(c) above and have signed an Exhibit "A" or "B", unless such information is released from the restrictions of this Agreement either through agreement of the parties or after notice to the parties and hearing, pursuant to the ruling of the ALJ, the order of the Commission and/or final order of a court having final jurisdiction.
 - (e) Appeal/Subsequent Proceedings. Sealed portions of the record in the

proceedings may be forwarded to any court of competent jurisdiction for purposes of an appeal, but under seal as designated herein for the information and use of the court. If a portion of the record is forwarded to a court, the providing party shall be notified which portion of the sealed record has been designated by the appealing party as necessary to the record on appeal.

- (f) Return. Unless otherwise ordered, Confidential Information and Highly Confidential Information, including transcripts of any depositions to which a claim of confidentiality is made, shall remain under seal, shall continue to be subject to the protective requirements of this Agreement, and shall, at the providing party's discretion, be returned to counsel for the providing party, or destroyed by the receiving party, within thirty (30) days after final settlement or conclusion of the proceedings. If the providing party elects to have Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information destroyed rather than returned, counsel for the receiving party shall verify in writing that the material has in fact been destroyed.
- 8. <u>Use in Pleadings</u>. Where references to Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information in the sealed record or with the providing party is required in pleadings, briefs, arguments or motions (except as provided in Section 6), it shall be by citation of title or exhibit number or some other description that will not disclose the substantive Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information contained therein. Any use of or substantive references to Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information shall be placed in a separate section of the pleading or brief and submitted to the ALJ or the Commission under seal. This sealed section shall be served only on counsel of record and parties of record who have signed the nondisclosure agreement set forth in Exhibit "A" or "B". All of the restrictions afforded by this Agreement apply to materials prepared and distributed under this section.
- 9. <u>Summary of Record</u>. If deemed necessary by the Commission, the providing party shall prepare a written summary of the Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information referred to be placed on the public record.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

10.

No Admission of Privileged or Confidential Status. By agreeing to this Agreement, neither EFCA nor any Party is admitting or agreeing that any of the materials or communications designated as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" Information are, either in fact or as a matter of law, a trade secret or of a proprietary, confidential or legally protected nature.

11. Designated Contacts.

A. EFCA's designated contacts for written notice pertaining to this Agreement are:

Court S. Rich Rose Law Group pc 7144 East Stetson Drive, Suite 300 Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 CRich@roselawgroup.com

B. APS's designated contacts for written notice pertaining to this Agreement are:

Linda J. Benally Pinnacle West Capital Corporation Law Department 400 North 5th Street, MS 8695 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Linda.Benally@pinnaclewest.com

APS State Regulation and Compliance Attn: Kerri Carnes 400 North 5th Street, MS 9712 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Ratecase@aps.com

- 12. Breach of Agreement. Any Party, in any legal action or complaint it files in any court alleging breach of this Agreement shall, at the written request of the Commission, name the Arizona Corporation Commission as a Defendant therein.
- 13. Remedies. The Parties acknowledge and agree that an exclusive remedy of money damages would not be a sufficient remedy for any breach of this Agreement, and that in addition to all other remedies to which the Producing Party may be entitled, each such Producing Party may be entitled to: (a) apply to the ALJ or the Commission, as appropriate, for sanctions against the Other Party and its legal counsel; and (b) specific performance

0.	1	
1	and/or injunctive or other relief as a rem	nedy. Any equitable relief sought or secured
2	hereunder shall not bar recovery of other re	emedies available at law or in equity, including
3	money damages.	
4	14. <u>Non-Termination</u> . The provis	sions of this Agreement shall not terminate at the
5	conclusion of this proceeding.	
6	DATED this 7th day of 1	nbv , 2016.
7	ENERGY FREEDOM COALITION OF	ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY
8	AMERICA LLC	
9	By: 1	By July Swally
10	Court S. Rich Rose Law Group pc	Linda J. Benally Pinnacle West Capital Corporation
11	7144 East Stetson Drive, Suite 300	Law Department
12	Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 Telephone: 480 505-3937	400 North 5 th Street, MS 8695 Phoenix, Arizona 85004
13	Facsimile: 480 505-3925	Telephone: 602 250-3630
14		Facsimile: 602 250-3393
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

EXHIBIT "A"

NONDISCLOSURE AGREEMENT

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
I have read the foregoing Protective Agreement dated,
2016, IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
COMPANY FOR A HEARING TO DETERMINE THE FAIR VALUE OF THE UTILITY
PROPERTY OF THE COMPANY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST
AND REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN THEREON, TO APPROVE RATE
SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP SUCH RETURN, Docket No. E-01345A-16-
0036, and agree to be bound by the terms and conditions of such Agreement.
Court Rich
Name
Signature
Rose Law Group pu Employer or Firm 1144 E. Stetsin Dr., Ste 300 Scottstale, Az 85261 Business Address
Position or relationship with the Party
Date Date

EXHIBIT "B"

NONDISCLOSURE AGREEMENT

3	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION		
4	I have read the foregoing Protective Agreement dated		
5	2016, <u>IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE</u>		
6	COMPANY FOR A HEARING TO DETERMINE THE FAIR VALUE OF THE UTILITY		
7	PROPERTY OF THE COMPANY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST		
8	AND REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN THEREON, TO APPROVE RATE		
9	SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP SUCH RETURN, Docket No. E-01345A-16-		
10	0036, and agree to be bound by the terms and conditions of such Agreement.		
11	Court Rich		
12	Name		
13	\mathcal{O}_{Λ} λ .		
14			
15	Signature		
16	Page 1 Company		
17	Employer or Firm		
18	1144 E. Stetson Dr.; Ste 300		
19	Scottsdale, for 85261		
20	Business Address		
21	ML. C. Fren		
22	Position or relationship with the Party		
23			
24	11/10/16		
25	Date		
26			